

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/669,604	09/26/2000	Jacob K. Gotwals	042390.P9241	042390.P9241 5203	
7:	590 12/23/2002				
John Travis Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER		
			KIM, PAUL L		
7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2857	••	
		DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/669,604	GOTWALS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Paul L Kim	2857			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period fo	• •					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.5	Contombor 2000				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3)	,		recognition no to the morite in			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ T	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 Se<i>ptember 2000</i></u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
44) 🗆 =	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[1	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the labels or descriptions for the boxes in figures 1-4 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/669,604

Art Unit: 2857

- (1) Field of the Invention.
- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yemini et al.



Application/Control Number: 09/669,604

Art Unit: 2857

With regard to claims 1, 2, 8, and 9, Yemini et al teaches a method and system for evaluating computer subsystem behavioral properties (col. 11, lines 15-26) comprising: identifying significant behavioral properties based on measured data in a computer system (col. 8, lines 23+ & col. 12, lines 54-57), determining an insight associated with the significant behavior properties (col. 9, lines 3-7), and determining an advice associated with the insight (col. 9, lines 45-47).

With regard to claims 3 and 10, Yemini et al teaches the system encoding knowledge of insights based on behavioral properties to determine the insight (col. 9, lines 1-3).

With regard to claims 4 and 11, Yemini et al teaches generating an explanation of the insight in terms of behavioral properties (col. 8, lines 40-43).

With regard to claims 5 and 12, Yemini et al teaches generating an explanation of the advice (col. 9, lines 18-19).

With regard to claims 6 and 13, Yemini et al teaches identifying behavior whose value is outside a predefined range (col. 25, lines 11+).

With regard to claims 7 and 14, Yemini et al teaches providing the insight and advice to a user (fig. 6, part 613).

With regard to claim 15, Yemini et al teaches an evaluation system comprising: a measurement interface to receive measured values of computer system performance parameters (fig. 1a, part 1a and 2a), a measurement interpreter coupled to the measurement interface to receive measured data from the interface and to provide behavioral property data (fig. 1a, part 6 & col. 11, lines 15-19), a behavioral interpreter



Art Unit: 2857

coupled to the measurement interpreter to receive the behavioral property data and to provide insights and explanations (fig. 1a, part 10 & col. 11, lines 23-32), and a user interface coupled to the interpreter to present insights and explanations to a user (fig. 1a, part 14 and 15).

With regard to claim 16, Yemini et al teaches the interface including a measurement adaptor (col. 14, lines 62+).

With regard to claim 17, Yemini et al teaches the interpreter including a behavior knowledge interpreter (col. 11, lines 52-63).

With regard to claim 18, Yemini et al teaches the interpreter including a compiler (col. 25, lines 25-27).

With regard to claim 19, Yemini et al teaches the interpreter including an insight generator (fig. 1a, part 12).

With regard to claim 20, Yemini et al teaches the insight generator including an advice generator (fig 1a, part 13).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Seiffert et al teaches a computer system performance evaluation apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,604

Art Unit: 2857

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-7468.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4440 for

regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

PΚ

December 15, 2002

MARCS. HOFF

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6